

PATENT APPLICATION

P19969D

Remarks

Reexamination and reconsideration of this application, as amended, is requested. Claims 1, 4 – 10 and 12 – 17 remain in the application. The patent office is authorized to charge the below deposit account any fees associated with the present response.

I. The 12-21-06 office action indicated claims 1, 4 and 5 were rejected under statutory type double patenting (35 U.S.C. 101). Applicant has amended claim 1 to include the elements of claim 2. As claim 2 was indicated as allowable if not dependent on a rejected base claim and as claims 4 and 5 depend on newly amended claim 1, Applicant submits the present amendment traverses the rejection of claims 1, 4 and 5.

PATENT APPLICATION

P19969D

Conclusion

Applicant believes that the foregoing is a full and complete response to the Office Action mailed 21 December 2006, and it is submitted that claims 1, 2, 4 – 10, 12 – 17 are in condition for allowance.

Should it be determined that an additional fee is due under 37 CFR §§1.16 or 1.17, or any excess fee has been received, please charge that fee or credit the amount of overcharge to deposit account #50-0221

If the Examiner believes that there are any informalities which can be corrected by an Examiner's amendment, a telephone call to the undersigned at (202) 607-4607 is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

/James S. Finn/
James S. Finn
Reg. No. 38450

Dated:

Intel Corporation
c/o Intellivate, LLC
P.O. Box 52050
Minneapolis, MN 55402

PATENT APPLICATION

P19969D

Remarks

Reexamination and reconsideration of this application, as amended, is requested. Claims 1, 4 – 10 and 12 – 17 remain in the application. The patent office is authorized to charge the below deposit account any fees associated with the present response.

I. The 12-21-06 office action indicated claims 1, 4 and 5 were rejected under statutory type double patenting (35 U.S.C. 101). Applicant has amended claim 1 to include the elements of claim 2. As claim 2 was indicated as allowable if not dependent on a rejected base claim and as claims 4 and 5 depend on newly amended claim 1, Applicant submits the present amendment traverses the rejection of claims 1, 4 and 5.

PATENT APPLICATION

P19969D

Conclusion

Applicant believes that the foregoing is a full and complete response to the Office Action mailed 21 December 2006, and it is submitted that claims 1, 2, 4 – 10, 12 – 17 are in condition for allowance.

Should it be determined that an additional fee is due under 37 CFR §§1.16 or 1.17, or any excess fee has been received, please charge that fee or credit the amount of overcharge to deposit account #50-0221

If the Examiner believes that there are any informalities which can be corrected by an Examiner's amendment, a telephone call to the undersigned at (202) 607-4607 is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

/James S. Finn/
James S. Finn
Reg. No. 38450

Dated:

Intel Corporation
c/o Intellivate, LLC
P.O. Box 52050
Minneapolis, MN 55402